

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/064,842	08/22/2002	Craig E. Burch	201-1581	3123
28787	7590 08/19/2004		EXAMINER	
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC 39577 WOODWARD AVENUE			ESHETE, ZELALEM	
SUITE 300	WARD AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304			3748	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\ \ \ \ \
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1111
	10/064,842	BURCH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAII INC DATE of this security is the	Zelalem Eshete	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	1aress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the original than the correction of the original than the original	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PT	O-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hampton et al. (5,682,848).

Hampton discloses an internal combustion engine (see figure 1)comprising: a combustion chamber, a head with a passageway fluidly connected with said chamber (see numeral 10), a valve controlling fluid communication between said chamber and said passageway (see numeral 6); a camshaft rotatably mounted on said head on a side of said camshaft generally opposite said combustion chamber by a camshaft bearing cap ladder (see numeral 4), said ladder having a pocket formed therein (see adjacent numeral 16) a rocker arm for actuating said valve (see numeral 14), said rocker arm having first and second modes of operation of said valve (see abstract); and a solenoid actuator for actuating said rocker arm between said first and second modes of operation (see numeral 16).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3,5,8,13-16,21,22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hampton et al. (5,682,848) in view of Wagner et al. (4,823,747).

Regarding claims 1,8,13: Hampton discloses a method of assembly and an internal combustion engine cylinder head camshaft bearing ladder, comprising: a combustion chamber; a head with a passageway fluidly connected with said chamber; a valve controlling fluid communication between said chamber and said passageway (see figure 1); a first body in contact with cylinder head with a cut out for receivingly mounting a cam shaft or a cam shaft rotatably mounted on said head by a camshaft bearing ladder, said ladder having a pocket formed therein(see numeral 4), a rocker arm for actuating said valve, said rocker arm having first and second modes of operation of said valve; and a solenoid actuator for actuating said rocker arm between said first and second modes of operation (see abstract); said first body also having a pocket; and a solenoid actuator positioned within said pocket (see numeral 16) for activating a switchable rocker arm assembly (see figure 1), co.

Hampton fails to disclose the threaded connection of said body to a cylinder head.

However, Wagner teaches threaded connection of the body to a cylinder head (see figure 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hampton's device by using threaded connection as taught by Wagner in order to secure the body on the engine cylinder head.

Regarding claims 2,3,14-16,21: Hampton in view of Wagner discloses the claimed invention as recited above except for a plurality of solenoid/camshaft/cutouts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the number of solenoid/camshaft actuation depending on the number actuated valves in the engine, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 3: Wagner discloses a plurality of cut outs for reception of a plurality of camshafts (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 5: Hampton discloses the solenoid actuator has leads connected with an "integrated circuit board".

Regarding claim 22: Hampton discloses a method of assembling a portion of a solenoid actuator to a dual operational rocker arm assembly (see abstract), comprising:

connecting a solenoid (see numeral 16) actuator in a pocket of a camshaft bearing ladder which receivingly mounts a camshaft on a side of said camshaft on a side of said camshaft on a side of said camshaft (see numeral 4) on a side of said camshaft generally opposite a combustion chamber of an internal combustion engine (see figure 1); and positioning said solenoid actuator adjacent said rocker arm assembly (see figure 1).

Hampton fails to disclose connection of the camshaft bearing ladder to a cylinder head.

However, Wagner teaches connection of the camshaft bearing ladder to a cylinder head (see figure 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hampton's device by using threaded connection as taught by Wagner in order to secure the body on the engine cylinder head.

5. Claims 4,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hampton et al. (5,682,848) in view of Wagner et al. (4,823,747), and further in view of Jahr (6,318,318).

Hampton in view of Wagner discloses the claimed invention except it lacks the specification of the solenoid actuator being encapsulated by a polymeric material or epoxy resin.

However, Jahr discloses a core protected by a polymeric plastic encapsulation (see column 5, lines 19-22).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the solenoid of Hampton in view of Wagner by encapsulating it with a polymeric material as taught by Jahr in order to protect the solenoid. It would also have been obvious to choose epoxy resin for it is a strong material as is known by one having ordinary skill in the art.

6. Claims 5-7,11,12,17-20,24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hampton et al. (5,682,848) in view of Wagner et al. (4,823,747), and further in view of Yoeda et al. (6,405,693).

Hampton in view of Wagner discloses the claimed invention as recited above and further discloses a control unit or "integrated circuit boards" with leads connected with said solenoid (see numeral 51); a camshaft bearing cap cover penetrated by the connector to allow for electrical connection to said solenoids (see figure 1).

Hampton in view of Wagner fails to disclose "sealably connection" (pass through connector) "integrated/printed circuit board" (encapsulated).

However, Yoeda discloses a control mechanism for controlling valve of internal combustion engine (see figure 4) that shows the use of solenoid (see numerals 30,31) in connection with driving circuit (see numerals 30b,31b) that is controlled by the external output circuit (see numeral 406) of the ECU (see numeral 20).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the control unit of Hampton by implementing circuit board technology as taught by Yoeda thereby incorporating associated connections and encapsulations in order to control the solenoid actuator. It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the valve actuation arrangement depending on the engine, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (703) 306-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zelalem Eshete Examiner Art Unit 3748

Ζ

Thomas Denion
Thomas Denion
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3700